



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/162,849	09/29/1998	YUMI SUZUKI	FUJA	9310
26304 7	7590 12/15/2003		EXAM	INER
KATTEN MUCHIN ZAVIS ROSENMAN			ANYA, CHARLES E	
575 MADISON	N AVENUE NY 10022-2585	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
<u> </u>			2126	
			DATE MAILED: 12/15/200	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)				
	09/162,849	SUZUKI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Charles E Anya	2126				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover s	neet with the correspondenc address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however apply within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX ute, cause the application to be	m of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01	October 2003.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Thi	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	awn from considerati					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) as Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	ccepted or b) object the drawing(s) be held in the ection is required if the d	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). rawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes since a specific reference was included in the f 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language p 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes reference was included in the first sentence of	nts have been receive nts have been receive iority documents have au (PCT Rule 17.2(a) st of the certified copi stic priority under 35 to first sentence of the service of	ed. ed in Application No e been received in this National Stage). es not received. J.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) pecification or in an Application Data Sheet. has been received. J.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific				
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) 🔲 No	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) tice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) er:				

Application/Control Number: 09/162,849

Art Unit: 2126

DETAILED ACTION

The Examiner did not receive the prior art reference cited on page 4 of applicant's specification as was requested in the last office action. Examiner requests the said prior art so that this application is fully considered.

The Examiner confirms and acknowledges the receipt of certified copies of priority documents (see PTOL-326).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 – 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's admitted prior art (Hereinafter referred to as APA) in view of German Pat. No 4,333,004 to Wolfgang.

As to claim 1, APA teaches an SDL Execution Unit (SDL Block 72 page 4 lines 34 – 37), an External Environment Description Unit (Environment Unit 71 page 4 lines 20 – 33, page 5 lines 1 – 28), a pseudo internal signal generator (Signal Generator 74 page 4 lines 20 – 25) and an Operating System (Operation System 73 page 4 lines 20 – 25).

Art Unit: 2126

APA is silent with reference to an adapter that includes a queue manager, connecting the SDL execution unit and external environment description unit through the adapter such that messages, events or parameters are exchanged between them, assigning a single task by the operating system and executing the task so that the pseudo internal generator may transfer the signals between the SDL execution unit and the external environment description unit.

Wolfgang teaches an adapter that includes a queue manager ("...monitor..." page 3 lines 1-10, page 4 lines 3-17, figure 1), connecting the SDL execution unit and external environment description unit through the adapter such that messages, events or parameters are exchanged between them (Figure 1 page 5 lines 8-25, page 6 lines 1-25), executing a single task by the operating system ("...single operating system process..." page 4 lines 19-25) and executing the task so that the pseudo internal generator may transfer the signals between the SDL execution unit and the external environment description unit ("...monitor..." page 4 lines 14-18). It would have been obvious to apply the teaching of Wolfgang to the system of APA. One would have been motivated to make such a modification in order to improve the dynamic propagation time effectiveness (page 5 lines 1-4).

As to claim 2, APA teaches the method of receiving information from the external environment description unit and converting signals (signal generator 74/signal receiver 75 page 4 lines 20 – 25). Wolfgang teaches monitoring an internal queue and activating internal queue such that converted signals are transferred to the SDL execution unit ("...monitor..." page 3 lines 1 – 10, page 4 lines 3 – 17As to claim 3, claim 1).

Application/Control Number: 09/162,849 Page 4

Art Unit: 2126

As to claim 3, claim 1 covers claim 3.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 10/1/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the Wolfgang and applicant's admitted prior art (AAPA) references does not teach executing an external environment description unit as a single task of the operating system and does not teach a pseudo internal signal generator.

Although Applicant argues that the Wolfgang and applicant's admitted prior art (AAPA) references does not teach executing an external environment description unit as a single task of the operating system, the argument does not explain how the claimed limitations are not met, more specifically the argument does not address why the mapping of page 4 lines 19 – 25 to the executing step of claim 1 does not cover the claimed limitation.

As for the argument of not teaching a pseudo internal signal generator, AAPA teaches a pseudo internal signal generator (Signal Generator 74 page lines 20 – 25).

Conclusion

3. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/162,849

Art Unit: 2126

849 Page 5

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Charles E Anya whose telephone number is (703) 305-

3411. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30 am - 6:00 pm) First Friday

off.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or

proceeding is assigned are (703) 746-7239 for regular communications and (703) 746-

7240 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-

3900.

Charles E Anya Examiner Art Unit 2126

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100